



-4/ 1-

IFW

Dr. -Ing. Giok Djien Go
Pfahlgrabenstr. 45
D-65510 Idstein
Germany

phone/fax +49 6126 8949

Registered

Mr. David R. Dunn
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3616

10/690,742 "Anti-submarining seat-belt assembly"
Docket No.: G6A4

- (E1) My amended application of 03/24/2004, registered on 04/05/2004 by USPTO
(E2) Mrs Draper's OAS (Office Action Summary) of 06/23/2004
(E3) My first amended application of 08/06/2004, registered on 11/22/2004 by USPTO
(E3A) My letter of 08/06/2004 to Mrs. Draper
(E4) Your OAS of 02/25/2005

My 4-page objection (E5) to your OAS (E4) regarding the status and verdict

Dear Mr. Dunn,

April 5, 2005

The status of the action (E4) is **not** final, but **non-final** because you have **not yet** responded to Monages' and Tame's drawbacks, listed in (E3), in (E4). Would you please send me your new OAS in **final** status in which you should

1. review them and D13 – D21, mentioned below, as well as outline your objection to each drawback or case;
2. explain why you have violated the US patent rules (D16) by annulling the features of my inventions, while experts, like Mr Gruber from CIPO and myself, consider Monages' and Tame's patents dubious, if not to say worthless! See D1-D21; and
3. explain why your verdict **differs** from those of CIPO, EPO (European Patent Office), PCT and DPMA (German Patent Office) in regard to allowable (patentable) rate of USPTO at over **53 %**, more than 3 times higher than that of EPO, DPMA or, I assume, CIPO? See D19 and D20.

NOT
Approved
for entry.
D. Dunn

Dr. -Ing. Giok Djien Go
Pfahlgrabenstr. 45
D-65510 Idstein
Germany